

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAVID RAMON COVARRUBIAS,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:18-cr-00099-LRH-CLB

FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
ORDER

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

1. Advancing the trial is necessary for the following reasons. First, the failure to grant this stipulation would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

2. The client is currently detained and does not oppose the stipulation.

3. The proposed date would allow continuity of counsel, for counsel to represent other clients zealously and still allow for meaningful investigation and litigation of this case, including the filing of trial motions. Specifically, defense counsel had an unforeseen conflict with the current trial date, necessitate its advancement by one week.

4. Denial of this stipulation could result in a miscarriage of justice. The time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, § 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(B)(iv).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said stipulation since the failure to grant it would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The time sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161 (h)(7)(A), when the considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Calendar Call currently scheduled for September 17, 2020, at 1:30 PM, be vacated and advanced to **September 10, 2020, at 1:30 PM**, the Daubert Hearing currently scheduled for September 28, 2020 at 9:00 AM be vacated and advanced to **September 21, 2020, at 9:00 AM**, and the trial currently scheduled for September 28, 2020 at 1:30 PM, be vacated and advanced to **September 22, 2020, at 8:30 AM**. Furthermore, the defense will file an updated Summary of Holly Cooper's opinion in compliance with Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(b) by Tuesday, September 1, 2020.

DATED this 19th of August, 2020.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE